Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 182 (1979) C65–C68 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

STEREOCHEMISTRY OF ACID CLEAVAGE OF THE COMPOUNDS (cis- AND trans-4-METHYLCYCLOHEXYL)Fe(CO)₂(η^{5} -C₅H₅)

W.N. ROGERS and M.C. BAIRD

Department of Chemistry, Queen's University Kingston K7L 3N6 (Canada) (Received July 27th, 1979)

Summary

Cleavage of the title compounds with DCl and CF_3CO_2D in CH_2Cl_2 proceeds with retention of configuration at the α -carbon atom. The mechanism probably involved protonation of the iron, followed by reductive elimination of alkane.

Mechanisms of electrophilic cleavage reactions of σ -bonded alkyl-transition metal compounds have been a subject of considerable debate in recent years. As shown in a recent review [1], numerous kinetic and stereochemical data are now available for reactions of a variety of metal systems with electrophilic reagents such as the proton, mercury (II) salts and the halogens.

A major datum not available at present, however, is knowledge of the stereochemistry at carbon of proton cleavage reactions, which generally proceed [1] as in eq. 1.

 $L_n M \rightarrow R + HX \rightarrow L_n M \rightarrow X + HR$

(R = alkyl, aryl; X = anion; L = other ligands)

We have undertaken to obtain such information, and report herein the results of cleavage reactions of (*cis*- and *trans*-4-methylcyclohexyl)Fe(CO)₂(η^{5} -C₅H₅) (Ia, Ib, respectively) with anhydrous hydrogen chloride- d_1 and trifluoroacetic acid- d_1 .

Compounds Ia and Ib were prepared in about 40% yields by treating the corresponding tosylates with $Na[\eta^5-C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2]$; their NMR spectral data agreed with the literature values [2]. Both compounds were cleaved smoothly, Ib faster than Ia, with stoichiometry as in eq. 2.

$$I + DX \rightarrow \eta^{5} - C_{5}H_{5}Fe(CO)_{2}X + MeC_{6}H_{10}D$$
$$(X = Cl, CF_{3}CO_{2})$$

The hydrocarbon products were isolated and identified unambiguously as methyl cyclohexanes using chromatographic techniques. Differentiation of the

(2)

(1)

cis- and trans-isomers was accomplished by infrared spectroscopy in the C–D stretching region*. The strong preference of the methyl group of methyl cyclohexane for the equatorial position (the ratio of the equatorial methyl to the axial methyl conformer is 164/1 at 172 K, [4]) results in the deuterium atoms in cis- and trans-4-methyldeuterocyclohexane existing almost exclusively in axial and equatorial positions, respectively, i.e.,



As shown by Corey et al. [5] originally, and subsequently by others [6, 7], equatorial C-D stretching frequencies occur $10-20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ higher than do axial C-D stretching frequencies.

The products of reactions of Ia and Ib with the deuterated acids exhibited C-D stretching bands at 2163 ± 1 and 2173 ± 1 cm⁻¹ (CH₂Cl₂), respectively (see Fig. 1), assignable to *cis*- and *trans*-4-methyldeuterocyclohexane, respectively [3]. The bands were quite symmetric in each case, suggesting that cleavage reactions of both acids proceeded with a high degree (at least 85% based on the ex-



Fig. 1. Infrared spectra of cis- and trans-4-methyldeuterocyclohexane in the C-D stretching region.

C66

^{*}Jensen [3] has found values of 2163 and 2175 cm⁻¹ for *cis-* and *trans-4-methyldeuterocyclohexane*, respectively, solvent not specified.

tent to which shoulders would be observable) of retention of configuration, i.e. for Ib,

Me
$$Fe(CO)_2(\eta^5 - C_5H_5) + DX$$
 (3)

A similar result has been obtained for (*cis*- and *trans*-4-methylcyclohexyl)mercury(II) compounds [8]. Whereas acid cleavage of alkylmercury compounds probably proceeds via attack at the mercury—carbon bond [9], however, the reactions discussed here almost certainly involve protonation of the iron (eq. 4), followed by reductive elimination of alkane. The latter step would be expected to proceed with retention of configuration at carbon.



The first step is a type of reaction well known in preparative metal hydride chemistry [10]. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe-(CO)₂Me, of essentially *d* character, lies some 1.4 eV above the metal—carbon σ bonding orbital [11], an energy difference probably typical of this class of iron compounds. Thus attack by an electrophilic reagent would be expected to occur at the iron rather than at the iron—carbon bond. In contrast, the HOMO of dialkylmercury(II) compounds has substantial mercury carbon bonding character, and lies some 6 eV above the 5*d* levels [12].

The iron compounds should, moreover, exhibit basic properties. In spite of the formal oxidation state of the iron (+II), the HOMO of the methyl compound has an ionization potential of only about 7.8 eV [11], comparable with those of trimethyl-amine and -phosphine [13].

The mechanism suggested in eq. 4 is consistent with kinetic studies of the cleavage of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Me with CF₃CO₂H in methylene chloride under essentially the same conditions (~0.1 *M* solutions in CH₂Cl₂) as maintained here [14]; the rates were found to be first order in both acid and iron compound. The postulated intermediate, II, is also similar to that suggested recently for the acid cleavage of resolved complexes of the type η^5 -C₅H₅FeCO(PPh₃)alkyl, which are chiral at iron [15,16]. Although, in the latter cases, all details of the mechanism have not yet been elucidated, cleavage reactions of the phosphine-substituted derivatives proceed with predominant retention of configuration at iron, consistent with reductive elimination of RH from a species such as III.



The relative rates of cleavage of Ia and Ib (Ib>Ia) are probably an indication of the relative effects of steric hindrance to protonation, although it is not obvious from molecular models that Ia is more sterically hindered. However, separate studies have shown that hydrogen chloride treatment of mixtures of η^{5} -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂R (R = n-Pr, i-Pr) in methylene chloride results in selective cleavage of the n-propyl derivative [17], an observation readily rationalized on the basis of steric effects.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from Queen's University and the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada made this research possible. We thank Professors A. Wojcicki and F.R. Jensen for permission to quote unpublished data.

References

- 1 M.D. Johnson, Acc. Chem. Res., 11 (1978) 57.
- 2 K.M. Nicholas and M. Rosenblum, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 4449.
- 3 F.R. Jensen, private communication.
- 4 H. Booth and J.R. Everett, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1976) 278.
- 5 E.J. Corey, M.G. Howell, A. Boston, R.L. Young and R.A. Sneen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 78 (1956) 5036.
- 6 F.R. Jensen and L.H. Gale, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 82 (1960) 145.
- 7 P.A. Wiseman, J. Org. Chem., 40 (1975) 112.
- 8 L.H. Gale, F.R. Jensen and J.A. Landgrebe, Chem. and Ind., (1960) 118.
- 9 F.R. Jensen and B. Rickborn, Electrophilic Substitution of Organomercurials, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968, Chap. 3.
- 10 R.A. Schunn in E.L. Muetterties (Ed.), Transition Metal Hydrides, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, p. 203, 1971.
- 11 D.L. Lichtenberger and R.F. Fenske, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 50.
- 12 T.P. Fehlner, J. Ulman, W.A. Nujent and J.K. Kochi, Inorg. Chem., 15 (1976) 2544. 13 R.H. Staley and J.L. Beauchamp, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 6252.
- 14 N. DeLuca and A. Wojcicki, unpublished results.
- 15 T.C. Flood and D.L. Miles, J. Organometal. Chem., 127 (1977) 33.
- 16 T.G. Attig, R.G. Teller, S.-M. Wu, R. Bau and A. Wojcicki, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 619.
- 17 D.E. Laycock and M.C. Baird, unpublished results.

C68